Comments to the Draft Partial Amendments to the Personal Information Protection Act

The Global Data Alliance (GDA) welcomes this opportunity to provide our comments to the Personal Information Protection Commission (PIPC) regarding the draft partial amendments to the Personal Information Protection Act (PIPA). While member companies may have interests and concerns on a range of issues raised in the draft amendments, the GDA is focused on policies related to cross-border data transfers. Accordingly, this letter addresses those provisions that are relevant to cross-border data transfers.

Cross-border data transfers power innovation and growth across the globe and all sectors of the economy — from manufacturing and farming to local start-ups and service providers. Data transfers enable the digital tools and insights that are critical to enabling entrepreneurs and companies of all sizes, in every country, to create new kinds of jobs, boost efficiency, drive quality, and improve output.

GDA members share a deep and long-standing commitment to protecting personal data across technologies and business models, as they recognize that today’s cross-border economy depends on the trust of consumers and the general public. The GDA, therefore, supports policies that protect personal data while enabling data to move across borders.

We urge the PIPC to ensure that the PIPA amendments will promote robust personal information protection through a flexible, pro-innovation legal framework that allows companies to responsibly transfer data both internally and externally to other entities, within and outside the Republic of Korea.

The seamless transfer of data across international borders enables the deployment of modern and emerging technologies and services that underpin the economy, across all sectors and at the local, national, and international level. This includes technologies and services enabled by data transfers, such as AI-related data analytics and machine learning technologies, as well as cloud computing, blockchain, and new privacy-enhancing technologies. These technologies and services, which are often accessed across borders or rely on data transferred across borders (or both), support many important economic activities and priorities, including remote work and virtual collaboration, distance education, telemedicine, cybersecurity, fraud monitoring and prevention, anti-money laundering, investigation of dangerous counterfeit products, and a broad range of other activities relating to the protection of health, privacy, security, and intellectual property. These technologies and services are particularly important in a country such as the Republic of Korea, in which jobs and exports depend upon these emerging technologies in
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the advanced industries where it is globally competitive, including advanced electronics, automobiles, shipbuilding, telecommunications, as well as media and content distribution. A forward-leaning policy on cross-border data transfers, which is interoperable with international frameworks, is a particularly effective tool to aid policymaker efforts to drive innovation, increase employment, and create other economic and societal benefits for government, businesses, consumers, and society.

**Accountability Model and International Data Transfers**

The ability to transfer data, including personal data, across international borders is the lifeblood of the modern global economy. For this reason, it is critical that the PIPA allow companies to responsibly transfer data internationally.

The GDA strongly supports the accountability model for international data transfers. This model was, first established by the OECD and subsequently endorsed and integrated in many legal systems and privacy principles. The accountability model provides an approach to cross-border data governance that effectively protects the privacy and consumer rights of individuals and fosters streamlined, robust data flows by requiring entities that collect personal information (often defined as personal data controllers) to be responsible for its protection no matter where or by whom it is processed.

While governments are rightfully concerned with risks to privacy and data security, these risks are not dependent on the physical location of where data is stored or processed, or the location of the infrastructure supporting it. In fact, the effectiveness of data security and personal information protection is a function of the technologies, systems, and procedures put in place by the companies handling the personal information to protect the data.

To benefit from cross-border data transfers while simultaneously ensuring the responsible processing and protection of data, the focus of privacy policy and regulation needs to be on the quality and effectiveness of the mechanisms and the controls maintained to protect the data in question. The accountability model, therefore, continues to be an important tool in increasing privacy and security by requiring entities to ensure that data will continue to be properly protected, regardless of where the data is located.

The GDA appreciates the proposed inclusion of several data transfer mechanisms under Article 28-8(2) as they provide a range of international data transfer mechanisms that personal information controllers can choose from. However, we recommend deleting Article 28-8(3) which requires companies to provide data subjects with a long list of information about data transfers. These include the “particulars of the personal information to be transferred”, the “countries, times and methods of transfer”, the “name of a person to whom personal information is transferred,” the “purpose of using personal information and the period of use and retention” by the recipient, and the “methods and procedures for refusing to transfer”.

These prescriptive requirements create significant burdens for both Korean and non-Korean businesses delivering global services without helping data subjects understand how their information may be handled. For example, requiring companies to provide information such as the methods of data transfer and the period of use and retention of the personal information to be transferred risks inundating consumers with information that does not meaningfully enhance their privacy or the protection of their personal information. Moreover, requiring a controller to provide specific contact information for each recipient could reduce the ability of companies to engage new subprocessors, including in situations where new subprocessors need to be obtained quickly to address security concerns or continue providing services during a potential outage.

We accordingly recommend deleting Article 28-8(3). Instead, we recommend requiring the company transferring personal data to retain responsibility for ensuring the data is processed appropriately and in compliance with Korea’s laws, and for addressing individuals’ requests for access, information, deletion, correction, or other rights.

**Flexibility and Interoperability**

Personal data protection and privacy frameworks that are based on a common set of international consensus-based principles facilitate cross border data transfers and drive innovation and business
investment in local markets by promoting international interoperable legal frameworks upon which businesses of all sizes can rely. These coordination mechanisms also help to bridge current gaps in international privacy norms while facilitating the safe and secure international transfer of personal information. Such mechanisms may include private codes of conduct, contractual arrangements such as standard contractual clauses, certifications such as the APEC Cross Border Privacy Rules (CBPR), seals or marks, and mutual recognition arrangements such as the adequacy with the European Union General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR).

As noted above, the GDA welcomes the proposed inclusion of several international data transfer mechanisms under Article 28-8(2) but urges this provision be expanded to recognize additional transfer mechanisms and create more flexibility in supporting cross-border data transfers. This approach will enable personal information controllers to use different mechanisms to transfer personal information across international borders and afford businesses the flexibility to determine the mechanisms that will be most optimal and relevant for them. In particular, the GDA recommends:

- **Revising Article 28-8(2) to recognize additional transfer mechanisms**, including intra-corporate binding rules, trustmarks, regional certifications, and contractual arrangements as additional acceptable mechanisms that can support international data transfers. These mechanisms are incorporated in other global data protection frameworks to promote cross-border data flows, including the APEC Cross Border Privacy Rules (CBPR) of which Korea is a participant, the European Union’s General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR), Brazil’s data protection law that recently entered into force, and Japan’s Act on the Protection of Personal Information.

- **Revising the consent requirements in Article 28-8(2)**, which requires personal information controllers to obtain “separate consent regarding cross-border transfer from the data subject”. GDA recommends in cases where the cross-border transfer of personal information is needed to fulfill the purpose of collecting the personal information, the original consent for processing should suffice to support the transfer rather than requiring a “separate consent.”

We appreciate the opportunity to share these views and hope that they will be helpful as the PIPC considers its next steps on the PIPA, promoting a robust data protection environment, while allowing responsible stewardship of data to continue benefiting the citizens and economy of Korea.

Please do not hesitate to contact us with any questions regarding this submission.

Sincerely yours,

Eunice Lim
Senior Manager, Policy – APAC
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